Improving Ground Fault Sensitivity for Transmission Lines Near Inverter-Based Resources

Ryan McDaniel and Yash Shah Schweitzer Engineering Laboratories, Inc.

Detecting ground fault directionality

Impedance-based directional elements

Negative-sequence voltagepolarized element (32Q)

Zero-sequence voltagepolarized element (32V)

Phase comparison-based directional elements

Zero-sequence currentpolarized element (32I)

Negative-sequence impedance element (32Q) Uses V2 (polarizing quantity) and I2 (operating quantity)





Negative-sequence impedance element (32Q) Security challenge near IBRs



Raise 32Q O/C thresholds

Zero-sequence impedance element (32V) Uses V0 (polarizing quantity) and I0 (operating quantity)



Mutual coupling can challenge 32V security

Evaluating strength and weakness of ground directional elements

32Q

- Immune to mutual coupling
- Security concern for IBR-fed faults

32V

- Performs well for IBR-fed faults
- Security concern for mutual coupling

32Q security concerns are mitigated by desensitizing element 32V security concerns can be mitigated without desensitization

Consider an IBR installation on a double circuit

- Tapped into existing transmission line
- Switching station (Bus T) could be near / away from tap point
- 32V application requires additional considerations when mutual coupling is present



Security evaluation of 32V for Line B relays Mutual coupling present between Line B and Line C



32V is secure in configuration 1

- V_{MUTUAL} is a voltage drop
- R4 will see an increased Z0_{APP}



32V is secure in configuration 2a

- N-1 contingency
- V_{MUTUAL} is a voltage rise
- R3 will see a reduced Z0_{APP}



32V is not active in configuration 2b

- V_{MUTUAL} is a voltage rise
- ILB0 ZLB0 is a voltage drop



32V is not secure in configuration 2c

- Credible N-2 contingency
- V_{MUTUAL} voltage rise > ILB0 • (ZR0 + ZLB0) voltage drop
- R3 measures (+) V0 and (-) I0
- R4 measures (–) V0 and (+) I0



Improve security in Configuration 2c No 3I2 current on Line B

Zero-sequence reference bus



 $\mathsf{TRCOMM} = (67G2)\mathsf{AND} 50Q)$

Mutual coupling shrinks apparent Z0 between forward and reverse faults

ZO @ R3



Values in per unit



line

Improve 32V security with impedance thresholds

 $Z0F = -0.5 \bullet Z0F_{APP}$ Z0R = Z0F + 0.1

Z0F_{APP} is apparent zero-sequence source impedance



Values in per unit

Improving 67G security without sacrificing sensitivity



Another challenge to 32V security

Mutual coupling between different voltage levels

- Electrical isolation in zerosequence network
- Electrical connection in negative-sequence network
- 32V threshold cannot be set secure
- Time-delayed sensitively set 67G
- Raise 67G pickup if necessary



Prevent 32V security concerns with smart reclosing

- Line A out of service
- Fault on Line C
- Reclose from Breaker 5?
- Reclose from Breaker 6?



Reclose from Breaker 6

Prevent 32V security concerns with smart reclosing

- Line B out of service
- Fault on Line C
- Reclose from Breaker 6?
- Reclose from Breaker 5?



Reclose from Breaker 5

Reclosing solutions if IBR is tapped near an existing bus

- If ZOMBC > ZOMAC, reclose from Breaker 6
- If Z0MBC < Z0MAC, reclose from Breaker 5
- If reclosing changes are not possible, consider a timedelayed sensitively set 67G



Conclusions

- 67Q provides good fault resistance coverage from grid terminal for N-1 contingencies
- 32V improves ground fault resistance coverage over 32Q near IBRs for all system contingencies
- Mutual coupling can cause 32V security concerns for credible N-2 contingencies

32V security improvements

- Supervise 67G with a low-set 50Q
- Manually set impedance-based directional thresholds biased towards security
- Reclose from terminals that maintain a strong electrical connection between mutually coupled lines
- Identify mutually coupled lines that are electrically isolated from each other in zero-sequence network and evaluate minimum allowable sensitivity or the use of 67G short time delays