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Abstract—Turn to turn faults usually cause smaller changes in the phase currents of a shunt reactor when fewer turns are involved. Designing a sensitive and reliable algorithm for turn to turn fault detection in shunt reactors still remains a challenge. In this paper, a novel hybrid differential algorithm has been proposed to detect turn to turn faults in shunt reactors. The proposed algorithm calculates the difference between normalized negative sequence terminal voltage and normalized negative sequence reactor current phasors. This difference value is used for detecting turn to turn fault in shunt reactors. The proposed algorithm can also identify the faulty phase. This is a significant improvement with respect to the existing negative or zero sequence based methods. The proposed algorithm does not need neural CT. Impedance values of the shunt reactors are also not needed in the calculations. The proposed algorithm can be applied to both solidly and impedance grounded shunt reactors. The performance of the proposed algorithm is evaluated using PSCAD simulations. It is found that the proposed algorithm is sensitive enough to detect lower level turn to turn faults. The proposed algorithm performs satisfactorily during system unbalances, reactor energizations, external faults, off-nominal frequency and switch onto fault scenarios, etc.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Shunt reactors are often used for reducing over-voltages on EHV/HV transmission lines [1][2]. EHV/HV shunt reactors are mostly connected in wye configuration. These reactors are either solidly grounded or impedance grounded. Solidly grounded shunt reactors are most common. Oil-filled gapped iron-core reactor has longer range of linear operation [3][4][5] in comparison to a power transformer. The reactor air gaps lead to very little remanence in contrast to a power transformer [5]. As a result, gapped iron-core reactor experiences less severe inrush in comparison with a transformer. Shunt reactors usually have high X/R ratios.

In a shunt reactor, the insulation between two or more turns fails during turn to turn fault. Turn to turn fault usually causes smaller changes in the phase currents of a shunt reactor. A turn to turn fault may evolve into more serious fault if it is not detected early. However, it is difficult to design a sensitive and reliable protection scheme to detect low level turn to turn faults as the changes in reactor phase currents are comparatively small. Turn to turn fault detection is also an issue in power transformers [6-9], synchronous machines [10], induction machine [11-12], the control winding of a magnetically controlled shunt reactor [13].

During turn to turn fault in a shunt reactor, traditional current differential or negative sequence current differential protection cannot see [2] any change in the differential current as the incoming and outgoing currents are same. Impedance relays are mainly used as back-up protection for the oil-filled shunt reactors [5]. They are not sensitive enough to detect low level turn to turn faults [5]. Impedance relays are usually set at 60% [5] of the rated reactor impedance. In some countries, split phase protection is used for turn to turn fault detection. However, the need of special reactor configuration with built-in CTs creates manufacturing problem [3]. Earth-fault overcurrent protection controlled by directional zero sequence element [2][5] is mostly used in North America for turn to turn fault detection. This scheme cannot identify the faulty phase. In addition, this protection needs neutral CT for operation. Unavailability of enough polarizing zero sequence voltage may cause difficulty in the operation of this scheme. In some cases, directional negative sequence element controlled earth-fault overcurrent protection is also used [2][5]. This scheme needs neutral CT for operation. Also, this scheme cannot identify the faulty phase. Paper [14] uses location of negative sequence impedance in the impedance plane to detect turn to turn fault. This method cannot identify the fault phase. Also, this method may not work if turn to turn fault takes place in pre-existing system unbalance condition. Mechanical fault detection techniques such as Buchholz relays are not sensitive enough [5] to detect low level turn to turn fault.

In this paper, a novel hybrid differential protection algorithm has been proposed to detect turn to turn fault in the wye connected shunt reactors. The proposed algorithm is termed as ‘hybrid differential’ as it operates on the difference between normalized negative sequence terminal voltage and normalized negative sequence phase current of the reactor. The proposed algorithm is sensitive enough to detect low level turn to turn faults. The faulty phase can be identified in the proposed algorithm. This is a significant improvement over the existing negative or zero sequence based techniques.
II. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

A. Solidly Grounded Shunt Reactor

Let us consider a solidly grounded symmetrical shunt reactor as shown in Fig. 1(a). Ignoring mutual coupling between phases, the phase currents can be expressed as:

\[ I_A = \frac{V_A}{Z_A}; \quad I_B = \frac{V_B}{Z_B}; \quad I_C = \frac{V_C}{Z_C} \]  

(1)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_0 \\
V_1 \\
V_2 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & a & a^2 \\
1 & a^2 & a \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
V_A \\
V_B \\
V_C \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] where \( a = e^{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \)  

(2)

Variables \( V_{Neg\_Normalized} \) and \( I_{Neg\_Normalized} \) are defined as:

\[ V_{Neg\_Normalized} = \frac{V_i}{V_1} \times 100\%; \quad I_{Neg\_Normalized} = \frac{I_i}{I_1} \times 100\% \]  

(3)

Variables \( V_{Neg\_Normalized} \) and \( I_{Neg\_Normalized} \) measure the amount of unbalances present in terminal voltages and phase currents respectively. Now, (3) can be written as:

\[ V_{Neg\_Normalized} = \frac{V_A + a^2 V_B + a V_C}{V_A + a V_B + a^2 V_C} \times 100\% \]

\[ I_{Neg\_Normalized} = \frac{I_A + a^2 I_B + a I_C}{I_A + a I_B + a^2 I_C} \times 100\% \]

\[ I_{Neg\_Normalized} = \frac{I_A + a^2 I_B + a I_C}{I_A + a I_B + a^2 I_C} \times 100\% \]

(4)

During steady state: \( Z_A = Z_B = Z_C = Z \)

Using (5), equation (4) can be re-written as:

\[ I_{Neg\_Normalized} = \frac{V_A + a^2 V_B + a V_C}{V_A + a V_B + a^2 V_C} \times 100\% \]

\[ \Rightarrow I_{Neg\_Normalized} = V_{Neg\_Normalized} \]  

(6)

It is to be noted that the equation (6) is valid for all balanced and unbalanced system voltages. Eq. (6) implies that the current and voltage unbalances are same for a symmetrical shunt reactor when there is no internal fault. It can also be shown that (6) also remains valid when shunt reactor phases have equal mutual coupling between them.

Now, a variable \( Diff \) is defined to measure the difference between voltage and current unbalances.

\[ Diff = V_{Neg\_Normalized} - I_{Neg\_Normalized} \]  

(7)

Figure 1: wye-connected solidly and impedance grounded shunt reactors

Suppose, the steady state value of \( Diff \) is termed as \( Diff_{steady} \). From (6), it can be written that:

\[ Diff_{steady} = V_{Neg\_Normalized} - I_{Neg\_Normalized} = 0 \]  

(8)

Now, a turn to turn fault takes place in the phase \( B \) of the shunt reactor. The decrement in phase \( B \) impedance is \( \Delta Z_B \). In this scenario, \( V_{Neg\_Normalized} \) and \( I_{Neg\_Normalized} \) can be written as:

\[ V_{Neg\_Normalized} = \frac{V_A + a^2 V_B + a V_C}{V_A + a V_B + a^2 V_C} \times 100\% \]

\[ V_A + a^2 \left( \frac{V_B}{1 - \frac{\Delta Z_B}{Z}} \right) + a V_C \]

\[ I_{Neg\_Normalized} = \frac{I_A + a^2 I_B + a I_C}{I_A + a I_B + a^2 I_C} \times 100\% \]

\[ I_A + a \left( \frac{V_B}{1 - \frac{\Delta Z_B}{Z}} \right) + a^2 V_C \]

(9)

So, during turn to turn fault:

\[ V_{Neg\_Normalized} \neq I_{Neg\_Normalized} \]  

(11)

\[ Diff \neq 0 \]  

(12)

From the above equations, it can be said that a turn to turn fault causes change in the \( Diff \) value. This change can be used to detect turn to turn fault in the shunt reactor. To measure the change in \( Diff \), a variable \( Operate \) is defined as:

\[ Operate = |Diff - Diff_{steady}| = |Diff - 0| = |Diff| \]  

(13)

During turn to turn fault, following condition will be valid:

\[ Operate > c \]  

(14)

where, \( c \) is a threshold in %.
**B. Impedance Grounded Shunt Reactor**

The phase currents of an impedance grounded shunt reactor can be expressed as:

\[
I_a = \frac{V_a - V_N}{Z_a}; \quad I_b = \frac{V_b - V_N}{Z_b}; \quad I_c = \frac{V_c - V_N}{Z_c}
\]  

During steady state:  
\[
Z_a = Z_b = Z_c = Z
\]

So, \(I_{Neg,\text{Normalized}}\) can be written as:

\[
I_{Neg,\text{Normalized}} = \frac{(V_a - V_N) + a^2(V_b - V_N) + a(V_c - V_N)}{(V_a - V_N) + a(V_b - V_N) + a^2(V_c - V_N)} \times 100\%
\]  

\[= \frac{V_{Neg}}{V_{Neg,\text{Normalized}}}
\]  

(15)

Now, a turn to turn fault takes place in the phase \(B\) of the shunt reactor. The decrement in phase \(B\) impedance is \(\Delta Z_B\). In this scenario, \(V_{Neg,\text{Normalized}}\) and \(I_{Neg,\text{Normalized}}\) can be written as:

\[
V_{Neg,\text{Normalized}} = \frac{V_a + a^2V_b + aV_c}{V_a + aV_b + a^2V_c} \times 100\%
\]

\[= \frac{I_{Neg,\text{Normalized}}}{I_{Neg,\text{Normalized, Normalized}}} = \frac{V_a + a^2V_b + aV_c}{V_a + aV_b + a^2V_c} \times 100\%
\]  

(16)

So, value of \(\text{Diff}_{\text{steady}}\) for impedance grounded shunt reactor is:

\[\text{Diff}_{\text{steady}} = V_{Neg,\text{Normalized}} - I_{Neg,\text{Normalized}} = 0\]  

(17)

Now, a turn to turn fault takes place in the phase \(B\) of the shunt reactor. The decrement in phase \(B\) impedance is \(\Delta Z_B\). In this scenario, \(V_{Neg,\text{Normalized}}\) and \(I_{Neg,\text{Normalized}}\) can be written as:

\[
V_{Neg,\text{Normalized}} = \frac{V_a + a^2V_b + aV_c}{V_a + aV_b + a^2V_c} \times 100\%
\]

\[= \frac{I_{Neg,\text{Normalized}}}{I_{Neg,\text{Normalized, Normalized}}} = \frac{V_a + a^2V_b + aV_c}{V_a + aV_b + a^2V_c} \times 100\%
\]  

\[
= \frac{V_a + a^2V_b + aV_c}{V_a + aV_b + a^2V_c} \times 100\%
\]  

(18)

So, during turn to turn fault:

\[V_{Neg,\text{Normalized}} \neq I_{Neg,\text{Normalized}}\]

In this case also, \(\text{Diff}\) differs from \(\text{Diff}_{\text{steady}}\) during turn to turn fault. As a result, equations (13) and (14) can also be used for turn to turn fault detection in the impedance grounded shunt reactor.

**C. Phase Selection**

Once the presence of turn to turn fault is detected, phase selection algorithm is applied to identify the faulted phase. In the proposed algorithm, phase selection is done based on the value of the angle \(\text{Diff}_{\text{angle}}\) defined as:

\[\text{Diff}_{\text{angle}} = \angle (\text{Diff} - \text{Diff}_{\text{steady}})\]  

(19)

In the proposed algorithm, phase selection criteria are same for the impedance and solidly grounded shunt reactors. In the following section, mathematical formulations are presented for solidly grounded shunt reactors. Formulations for impedance grounded shunt reactors can also be achieved in similar way.

1) Turn to turn fault in Phase \(B\)

Suppose, there is a turn to turn fault in the phase \(B\) of the solidly grounded shunt reactor.

\[\text{Diff}_{\text{angle}} = \angle (V_{Neg,\text{Normalized}} - I_{Neg,\text{Normalized}} - \text{Diff}_{\text{steady}})\]

\[= \angle \left( \frac{V_a + a^2V_b + aV_c}{V_a + aV_b + a^2V_c} \right) \times 100\% \]

\[= \angle \left( \frac{V_a + a^2V_b + aV_c}{V_a + aV_b + a^2V_c} \right) \times 100\%
\]  

(20)

Eq. (20) is obtained using Taylor series. Angle of \(\Delta Z_B\) is equal to the angle of \(Z\) when fault resistance is zero. In addition, \(\Delta Z_B\) is usually much smaller than the phase impedance \(Z\). So ignoring 2nd and higher order terms of \(\Delta Z_B/Z\), (20) can be re-written as:

\[\text{Diff}_{\text{angle}} \approx \angle \left( \frac{V_a + a^2V_b + aV_c}{V_a + aV_b + a^2V_c} \right) \times 100\%
\]

\[= \angle \left( \frac{V_a + a^2V_b + aV_c}{V_a + aV_b + a^2V_c} \right) \times 100\%
\]  

(18)

So, during turn to turn fault:

\[V_{Neg,\text{Normalized}} \neq I_{Neg,\text{Normalized}}\]

In this case also, \(\text{Diff}\) differs from \(\text{Diff}_{\text{steady}}\) during turn to turn fault. As a result, equations (13) and (14) can also be used for turn to turn fault detection in the impedance grounded shunt reactor.

From the mathematical expression of \(V_f\) it can be said that
the angle of $V_i$ is nearly equal to the angle of $V_a$. Angle of $\Delta Z_B$ is equal to the angle of $Z$ when fault resistance is zero. Angle of $(aV_B)$ is nearly equal to angle of $V_a$. As a result, angle of $(V_1 + (aV_B\Delta Z_B/Z))$ is nearly equal to the angle of $V_a$. So,

\[
\text{Diff}_{\text{angle}} = \angle - \alpha \\
\approx -60^\circ
\]  

(22)

2) Turn to turn fault in Phase A

Suppose, there is a turn to turn fault in the phase A of the shunt reactor. Following previous steps, we can derive:

\[
\text{Diff}_{\text{angle}} = \angle \left( \frac{V_i}{V_a} + \frac{\Delta Z_i}{Z} \right) \\
= \angle \left( \frac{\Delta Z_i}{Z} \right), \quad \text{as} \quad \frac{V_i}{V_a} \ll 1 \\
\approx 180^\circ
\]  

(23)

3) Turn to turn fault in Phase C

Suppose, there is a turn to turn fault in the phase C of the shunt reactor. Following previous steps, we can derive:

\[
\text{Diff}_{\text{angle}} = \angle \left( \frac{V_i}{V_a} + \frac{\Delta Z_i}{Z} \right) \\
= \angle \left( \frac{\Delta Z_i}{Z} \right), \quad \text{as} \quad \frac{V_i}{V_a} \ll 1 \\
\approx 60^\circ
\]  

(24)

D. Practical Implementation Issues

1) Choice of Threshold $c$

Threshold $c$ impacts the performance of the proposed algorithm. Threshold $c$ should be chosen such that:

\[
c_1 \times SF < c < c_2 \times SF
\]

(25)

where, $c_1$ is the maximum value of $\text{Operate}$ to avoid false tripping during normal operating conditions. And, $c_2$ is the maximum value of $\text{Operate}$ to detect minimum level turn to turn fault as per design goal. Value of safety factor $SF$ is a choice by the designer. However, value of $SF$ should be greater than 1.

An example is given next to show the calculation steps for $c_1$. As per [19], the maximum value of normal system voltage unbalance is 2%. So,

\[
\left| \frac{V_2}{V_1} \times 100 \right|_{\text{max}} = 2 \%
\]

$V_{\text{Neg Normalized}}$ and $I_{\text{Neg Normalized}}$ can have following values in the 2% system voltage unbalance scenario:

\[
V_{\text{Neg Normalized}} = 2+i \times 0 \%
\]

\[
I_{\text{Neg Normalized}} = 2+i \times 0 \%
\]

Now suppose,

Maximum expected measurement errors = ±5% of actual measurement

So, in a worst case scenario:

\[
V_{\text{Neg Normalized}} = 2 \times 1.05+ i \times 0 = 2.1 \%
\]

\[
I_{\text{Neg Normalized}} = 2 \times 0.95+ i \times 0 = 1.9 \%
\]

In this case, $c_1$ can be chosen as:

\[
c_1 = [2.1 - 1.9] = 0.2\%
\]

The calculation steps for $c_2$ are given below.

Suppose, the design goal is to detect turn to turn faults involving minimum 1.5 % of reactor phase impedance.

Suppose, in steady state scenario:

\[
V_a = 1 \angle 0^\circ; \quad V_b = 1 \angle 120^\circ; \quad V_c = 1 \angle 240^\circ; \quad \text{(in p.u)}
\]

\[
Z_a = 0.05+i \times 1; \quad Z_b = 0.05+i \times 1; \quad Z_c = 0.05+i \times 1; \quad \text{(in p.u)}
\]

Turn to turn fault takes place in phase A of the shunt reactor involving 1.5% reactor phase impedance. Fault resistance is assumed to be zero in this case. So, during turn to turn fault:

\[
V_a = 1 \angle 0^\circ; \quad V_b = 1 \angle 120^\circ; \quad V_c = 1 \angle 240^\circ;
\]

\[
Z_a = 0.985 \times (0.05+i \times 1); \quad Z_b = 0.05+i \times 1; \quad Z_c = 0.05+i \times 1;
\]

Using above phase voltages and impedances:

\[
\left| \text{Diff} - \text{Diff}_{\text{steady}} \right| = 0.5051 + i \times 0 - 0 = 0.5051%
\]

In this case, $c_2$ can be chosen as: $c_2 = 0.51\%$

2) Phase Selection

Equations (22), (23) and (24) are derived using many assumptions. The assumptions made behind (22), (23) and (24) may not be valid always. So for practical implementations, a tolerance of ±30° is suggested around the angle values of (22), (23) and (24). Table 1 summarizes the proposed phase selection rules.
Table 1: Phase selection for turn to turn fault detection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diff_angle</th>
<th>Phase section decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150° ≤ Diff_angle ≤ 210°</td>
<td>Turn to turn fault in phase A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270° ≤ Diff_angle ≤ 330°</td>
<td>Turn to turn fault in phase B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30° ≤ Diff_angle ≤ 90°</td>
<td>Turn to turn fault in phase C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) Impact of Bad Measurements

Adverse impact of bad measurements can be minimized by averaging the values of Diff over a specific time. In the proposed algorithm, values of Diff are averaged over one cycle. Diff_avg is the averaged value of Diff. Suppose, the relay runs p protection passes per cycle, at the nᵗʰ protection pass:

\[ Diff_{avg}(n) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p} Diff(n+1-k) \]  

(26)

In the proposed algorithm, Diff_avg is used instead of Diff during calculation of Operate. To minimize the impact of bad measurements, the variable Operate is calculated as:

\[ Operate = |Diff_{avg} - Diff_{steady}| \]  

(27)

As a result, Diff_angle is calculated as:

\[ Diff_{angle} = \angle (Diff_{avg} - Diff_{steady}) \]  

(28)

4) Declaration of Turn to Turn Fault

In the proposed algorithm, turn to turn fault is declared if the condition (14) is satisfied for specific time duration continuously. This waiting time should be small enough to achieve fast tripping of the shunt reactor during turn to turn fault. However, the waiting time can be comparatively large if the purpose of turn to turn fault protection is to issue an alarm.

5) CT and Reactor Saturations

Digital relays often use CT saturation detector [2][5] or additional time delay [3][5] to avoid maloperation during CT saturations. In the proposed algorithm, waiting time can be made longer enough to cover worst case CT saturation scenarios. Alternately, CT saturation detector can be used to block the proposed algorithm during CT saturations. Similar approaches can be taken to handle reactor saturations in the proposed algorithm.

6) Phase Selection

The proposed algorithm will also respond to other internal faults such as earth and phase-to-phase faults. The phase selection algorithm should be blocked if other protection elements such as earth-fault, differential protection element pick up.

7) Manufacturing Asymmetry between Phases

Ideally, all three phases of a shunt reactor should have same impedance. But in reality, there is always a small amount of asymmetry between the phase impedances of the shunt reactor. Also, reactor phases may have unequal mutual impedances. As per the IEEE Std C57.21-2008 [15], the maximum deviation of impedance in any of the phase shall be within ±2% of the average impedance of the three phases. Practical shunt reactors usually have much lesser asymmetry than specified in the standard [15]. As per [3], the usual value of manufacturing asymmetry is around 0.5%. In the proposed algorithm, Diff_steady will have non-zero value for an asymmetrical shunt reactor. Due to asymmetry, the value of Diff_steady will also depend on the terminal voltages. Diff_steady can be measured at the rated voltages. In addition, the value of Diff_steady may change with time due to the ageing of the shunt reactor. So, Diff_steady should be updated at regular intervals.

Fig. 2 summarizes the proposed algorithm. The proposed algorithm is termed as ‘hybrid differential’ as it operates on the difference between normalized negative sequence terminal voltage and normalized negative sequence phase current of the shunt reactor.

Figure 2: Flow chart of the proposed algorithm

III. PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS

The performance of the proposed algorithm has been evaluated using a two bus system as shown in Fig. 3. System voltage is 230 kV and system frequency is 60 Hz unless specified. In Fig. 3, a solidly grounded wye-connected shunt reactor is connected at Bus 1. Unbalanced load is connected at Bus 2 to introduce unbalance in the system voltages. Harmonic
current source is connected at Bus 1 to inject small amount of steady state harmonic current into the system. This system has been simulated in PSCAD software. The transmission line is 400 km long. The parameters of transmission line and generators are taken from [16]. The parameters of load and harmonic current source are given in the Appendix.

![Two bus system with shunt reactor](image)

**Figure 3: Two bus system with shunt reactor**

The proposed algorithm needs voltage and current measurements as inputs. Measurements are generated in PSCAD by the following steps mentioned in [17]. In PSCAD, voltage and current signals are sampled at 20 kHz by selecting an appropriate time-step. The sampled waveforms are then digitally filtered with a second order Butterworth anti-aliasing filter. After anti-aliasing filtering; voltage and current signals are down-sampled to achieve 3840 samples/s sampling rate. Finally, CVT transient, mimic and phasor estimation filters are used to calculate the voltage and current phasors. The protection pass is considered to be 960 sample/s. The value of the threshold \( c \) is 0.25 %. Shunt reactors are modeled as saturable reactors. The magnetizing characteristic of saturable reactor is taken from [5]. Report [5] says that the knee point of typical gapped code oil immersed shunt reactor falls in the 1.25 pu to 1.35 pu range. In this study, knee point is chosen as 1.35 pu. The X/R ratio of unsaturated reactor phase impedance is 100. The per-phase reactance of shunt reactor is 1000 \( \Omega \).

Reactor phase voltage and phase current phasors contain 50 dB noises. Total Harmonic Distortion in phase currents is around 0.15%. Steady state system voltage unbalance is around 0.2%. \( \text{Diff}_{\text{steady}} \) is zero in all the simulations. In these simulations, a waiting time of 0.5 s is used before turn to turn fault is declared.

**A. Performance during turn to turn fault**

Suppose, turn to turn fault takes place in the phase A of the shunt reactor involving 1.5% reactor impedance. The fault starts at 3 s and fault resistance is 1 \( \Omega \). Fig. 4 shows the effect of turn to turn fault on the phase voltages and currents. The phase voltages remain almost same as the level of turn to turn fault is low. In Fig. 4, phase A current increases due to the turn to turn fault. In Fig. 5, trajectory of \( \text{Diff}_{\text{avg}} - \text{Diff}_{\text{steady}} \) is presented in polar coordinates. Initially, the operating point lies within the threshold circle of radius 0.25%. However, the operating point crosses the threshold circle after turn to turn fault starts. The operating point settles outside the threshold circle. As a result, turn to turn fault is declared after waiting for 0.5 s. Phase selection algorithm finds that the value of \( \text{Diff}_{\text{angle}} \) remains around 180 degrees during turn to turn fault. As a result, turn to turn fault is declared in phase A. Fig. 6 & 7 show the performance of the proposed algorithm during off-nominal frequency. The system frequency is 59.5 Hz. A turn to turn fault takes place in the phase A of the shunt reactor involving 1.5% of phase impedance. In Fig. 7, trajectory of \( \text{Diff}_{\text{avg}} - \text{Diff}_{\text{steady}} \) is presented in polar coordinates. In this case also, the proposed algorithm performs satisfactorily. In this paper, frequency tracking has not been used during phasor estimations. As a result, voltage and current phasors of Fig. 6 have more estimation errors than the phasors of Fig. 4. Fig. 7 shows that the performance of proposed algorithm does not get affected much during off-nominal frequencies. This happens due to the averaging of \( \text{Diff} \) values over one cycle.

**Figure 4: Phase voltages and currents during turn to turn fault in phase A (system frequency 60 Hz)**

**Figure 5: Trajectory of \( \text{Diff}_{\text{avg}} - \text{Diff}_{\text{steady}} \) in polar coordinates corresponding to Figure 4**

**Figure 6: Phase voltages and currents during turn to turn fault in phase A (system frequency 59.5 Hz)**

Fig. 8 presents the effect of noises on the phase selection performance. In Fig. 8, polar plot of \( \text{Diff}_{\text{avg}} - \text{Diff}_{\text{steady}} \) is presented for 100 phase selection instances with varying amounts of noises. All other simulation parameters remain same. Each point on Fig. 8 presents the value of \( \text{Diff}_{\text{avg}} - \)
Diff\textsubscript{steady} corresponding to the time instant when turn to turn fault is declared. In all the 100 runs, the values of Diff\textsubscript{angle} remain within the phase selection zone of phase A. The phase selection algorithm performs satisfactorily in this case.

**Figure 7:** Trajectory of Diff\textsubscript{avg} - Diff\textsubscript{steady} in polar coordinates corresponding to Figure 6

**Figure 8:** Polar plot of Diff\textsubscript{avg} - Diff\textsubscript{steady} for 100 phase selection instances with varying noises and turn to turn fault in phase A

**Figure 9:** Phase currents of the transmission line

**Figure 10:** External A-G fault with single pole tripping and auto-reclosing

**B. Performance during external fault**

In this section, the performance of the proposed algorithm is evaluated for an external A-G fault. The A-G fault is located at the center of the transmission line. Single pole tripping of phase A takes place after 0.1 s of fault starting. Phase A breaker recloses after 0.75 s dead time [18]. It is assumed that the fault was temporary in nature. As a result, fault disappeared during dead time and phase A of the transmission line gets successfully reclosed. There is no simultaneous turn to turn fault in the shunt reactor. Phase currents of the transmission line are presented in Figure 9.

Performance of the proposed algorithm is presented Figure 10. In Fig. 10, variable Operate stays below the threshold value except momentary jumps. These momentary jumps appear due to the errors in phasor estimations during transition periods. The time durations of momentary jumps depends on the response time of the estimation filter. As a result, durations of the momentary jumps are much smaller than the waiting time. The proposed algorithm does not detect turn to turn fault scenario as expected.

**Figure 11:** Polar plot of Diff\textsubscript{avg} - Diff\textsubscript{steady} for 100 phase selection instances with varying noises and turn to turn fault in phase C

**C. Performance during shunt reactor energization**

In Fig. 12 and 13, the performance of the proposed algorithm during shunt reactor energization is presented. Breakers in all the three phases are closed together at 1 s. There is no synchronized switching of the reactor. Figure 12 presents the CT secondary currents corresponding to the energization event. After energization, phase A of the reactor saturates. Phase A current comes out of the saturation after 4 cycles approximately. Phase B and C do not saturate but contain decaying DC components. There is no simultaneous turn to turn fault in the shunt reactor. Fig. 13 presents the performance of the proposed algorithm during shunt reactor
energization. After energization, the value of Operate goes above the threshold for 5 cycles approximately and then it falls and settles below the threshold. As expected, the proposed algorithm does not detect turn to turn fault scenario.

In Fig. 14, the performance of the proposed algorithm is presented for turn to turn fault happening in phase B during reactor energization. In this case, the value of Operate stays above the threshold value continuously for more than 0.5 s. The value of $\text{Diff}_{\text{angle}}$ remains around -53 degrees. As a result, turn to turn fault is declared in phase B.

Figure 12: CT secondary current (A) during shunt reactor energization

Figure 13: Shunt reactor energization without simultaneous turn to turn fault

Figure 14: Shunt reactor energization with switch onto turn to turn fault in phase B

D. Performance during high level turn to turn faults

In this section, the performance of the proposed algorithm has been evaluated for higher level turn to turn faults. A turn to turn fault takes place in the phase A of a shunt reactor involving 50% of the phase impedance. In Fig. 15, the trajectory of $\text{Diff}_{\text{avg}} - \text{Diff}_{\text{steady}}$ is presented in polar coordinates. Fig. 15 shows that the proposed algorithm correctly detects presence of turn to turn fault in phase A. In practical scenario, other protection elements (51N, 21, etc.) will respond to such higher level turn to turn fault and will cause tripping at much earlier time. The proposed hybrid differential algorithm can be used as a backup protection in such case.

E. Asymmetry in the reactor phase impedances

In this study, the phase B impedance is 100.5% of the phase A impedance and the phase C impedance is 99.5% of the phase A impedance. A turn to turn fault takes place in the phase A of the shunt reactor involving 1.5% of the phase impedance. Fig. 16 shows the corresponding trajectory of $\text{Diff}_{\text{avg}} - \text{Diff}_{\text{steady}}$. The proposed algorithm performs correctly in this case.

Figure 15: Trajectory of $\text{Diff}_{\text{avg}} - \text{Diff}_{\text{steady}}$ in polar coordinates during turn to turn fault in phase A involving 50% of the phase impedance

Figure 16: Trajectory of $\text{Diff}_{\text{avg}} - \text{Diff}_{\text{steady}}$ during turn to turn fault in phase A of an asymmetrical shunt reactor

F. Performance comparison

Negative sequence current differential element [7] is commonly used for turn to turn fault detection in power transformers. In this section, the performance of the proposed algorithm is compared with the method [7]. There is a turn to turn fault in phase A of the reactor at 3 s. Negative sequence differential current is measured using CTs located at the both ends of the shunt reactor windings. Fig. 17 presents the magnitudes of negative sequence differential currents along with the values of Operate. The proposed algorithm satisfactorily detect turn to turn fault. However, from Fig. 17 it is evident that the negative sequence current differential element fails to detect turn to turn fault. This is expected as the incoming and outgoing negative sequence currents are same.
during turn to turn fault. The proposed method demonstrates superior performance with respect to the negative sequence current differential element.

The proposed algorithm can identify the faulty phase. This is a significant improvement over the existing negative/zero sequence based techniques. Impedance values of the shunt reactors are not needed in the calculations. The proposed algorithm works for both solidly grounded and impedance grounded shunt reactors and does not need a neutral CT. Results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm performs satisfactorily during system unbalances, external faults and reactor energization scenarios. The proposed algorithm can also detect switch onto turn to turn faults. The proposed algorithm is sensitive enough to detect both low and high level turn to turn faults.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a novel hybrid differential algorithm has been proposed to detect turn to turn fault in the shunt reactors. The proposed algorithm can identify the faulty phase. This is a significant improvement over the existing negative/zero sequence based techniques. Impedance values of the shunt reactors are not needed in the calculations. The proposed algorithm works for both solidly grounded and impedance grounded shunt reactors and does not need a neutral CT. Results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm performs satisfactorily during system unbalances, external faults and reactor energization scenarios. The proposed algorithm can also detect switch onto turn to turn faults. The proposed algorithm is sensitive enough to detect both low and high level turn to turn faults.

V. APPENDIX

Impedances of the unbalanced load:

\[ Z_{AI} = 600 \, \Omega; \quad Z_{BI} = 800 \, \Omega; \quad Z_{CL} = 1000 \, \Omega \]

Harmonic current source:

Frequency = 180 Hz; Magnitude = 0.01 kA
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